Biportal endoscopic lumbar interbody fusion

Dong Hwa Heo, MD. PhD.

Endoscopic Spine Surgery Center, Neurosurgery Seoul CPD Hospital, Seoul, South Korea

Dual-portal endoscopic lumbar interbody fusion



What are the better points comparing with MIS TLIF or Open TLIF?



Biportal Endoscopic TLIF, Endoscopic assistant TLIF

Ø Advantages

1. Direct decompression of nerve roots and central canal



Biportal Endoscopic TLIF, Endoscopic assistant TLIF @ Advantages

2. Indirect decompression (large size cage) as well as direct decomp



Biportal Endoscopic TLIF, Endoscopic assistant TLIF

@ Advantages

3. Endoscopic endplate preparation



Removal of Only Cartilaginous endplate from osseous endplate → complete endplate preparation → prevention of subsidence → Fusion



@ Anterior annulus and ALL

Biportal Endoscopic TLIF, Endoscopic assistant TLIF @ Advantages

4. Minimizing traumatization of muscle (Fast recovery) Painless surgery. <u>Reduce postoperative pain and complications</u>



Technique of biportal endoscopic TLIF

Same as MIS TLIF using Tubular retractor



Technique: same as Modified MIS-TLIF



• Skin incision points for making two portals: over the pedicles



• Surgery: Biportal endoscopic TLIF at L45, Right side approach

Modified biportal endoscopic TLIF

- Large spacer
- Large cage
- OLIF cage > Ex TLIF cage > TLIF cage > PLIF cage



Expansion of Kambin's trangle Unilateral laminotomy with total facetectomy Make enough space for large cage insertion

-











Insertion a lot of fusion material into interbody space before a cage insertion





• Postoperative X ray image





Postoperative MRI image





Postoperative MRI image





Biportal endoscopicTLIF



61/F back pain with both legs pain, claudication



large cage, Left approach



Lateral









Lateral





























39/M back pain with both legs pain, claudication



39/M back pain with both legs pain, claudication









58/F NIC. Back pain





Evidences, Meta-analysis

> J Korean Neurosurg Soc. 2022 Jul;65(4):539-548. doi: 10.3340/jkns.2021.0168. Epub 2022 Jun 29.

Full-Endoscopic versus Minimally Invasive Lumbar Interbody Fusion for Lumbar Degenerative Diseases : A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Seong Son ¹, Byung Rhae Yoo ¹, Sang Gu Lee ¹, Woo Kyung Kim ¹, Jong Myung Jung ¹

Meta-Analysis > Pain Physician. 2021 Sep;24(6):441-452.

Comparison of Clinical Outcomes and Complications Between Percutaneous Endoscopic and Minimally Invasive Transforaminal Lumbar Interbody Fusion for Degenerative Lumbar Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Lei Zhu ¹, Tongchuan Cai ², Yuzhou Shan ¹, Wenjie Zhang ³, Liang Zhang ¹, Xinmin Feng ¹

Meta-Analysis > Sci Rep. 2022 Feb 8;12(1):2101. doi: 10.1038/s41598-022-05988-0.

Clinical outcomes, complications and fusion rates in endoscopic assisted intraforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (iLIF) versus minimally invasive transforaminal lumbar interbody fusion (MI-TLIF): systematic review and meta-analysis

José Miguel Sousa ^{1 2}, Hugo Ribeiro ³, João Luís Silva ³, Paulo Nogueira ⁴, José Guimarães Consciência ^{3 5}

Minimize postoperative pain, Lower complications, Short hospital stay

Fusion rate



Endoscopic lumbar interbody fusion

@ Advantages

- **Direct decompression**
- Complete endplate preparation under endoscopic view
- Large size cage insertion
- Fast recovery after surgery. Minimize postoperative pain

Endoscopic lumbar interbody fusion

Ø Disadvantages

Technically difficult.

Need large experiences of Endoscopic surgery and microsurgery

Thank you so much

Unilateral Biportal Endoscopic Spine Surgery

Basic and Advanced Technique

Dong Hwa Heo Cheol Woong Park Sang Kyu Son Jin Hwa Eum *Editors*



